



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

[Handwritten signature]

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,167	07/30/2003	James Albert Matthews	10030278-1	1888
57299	7590	07/26/2006		
AVAGO TECHNOLOGIES, LTD. P.O. BOX 1920 DENVER, CO 80201-1920				EXAMINER YAM, STEPHEN K
				ART UNIT 2878 PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/632,167	MATTHEWS, JAMES ALBERT	
	Examiner Stephen Yam	Art Unit 2878	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1,5,6,10,11 and 19-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1, 5, 6, 10, 11, and 19-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____. | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 13, 2006 has been entered. Claims 1, 5, 6, 10, 11, and 19-23 are still pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1, 5, 6, 10, 11, and 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wagner et al. US Patent No. 6,879,014 in view of Morris, Jr. et al. US Patent No. 6,452,669.

Regarding Claim 1, Wagner et al. teach (see Fig. 1, 4 and 8) an integrated optical apparatus (100) configured to detect light transmitted from a light source (402) external to the integrated optical apparatus (see Fig. 4), the integrated optical apparatus comprising a substrate (106) (see Fig. 8), and an optical element including a plurality of stacked layers (101-103) of optically transmissive material (see Fig. 1 and Col. 8, lines 39-40) formed on the substrate (see

Fig. 8), wherein at least one of the layers (101-103) of optically transmissive material is a sensing element (see Col. 8, lines 30-38) having a resistance responsive to incident light (as a photodiode operating in reverse bias (see Col. 9, lines 38-43) has a resistance proportional to incident light). Wagner et al. do not teach the apparatus diffracting light with the optical element as a diffractive optical element. Morris, Jr. et al. teach (see Fig. 4) a similar apparatus with a diffractive optical element (see Col. 2, lines 63-67) including a plurality of stacked layers (20, 30) of optically transmissive material, wherein at least one of the layers (20) of optically transmissive material (see Col. 2, lines 55-63) as a sensing element (See Col. 3, lines 1-9) having a resistance responsive to incident light (since the photodiode is operating in reverse bias - see Col. 3, lines 1-8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the optical element as a diffractive optical element for the optical apparatus to diffract light, as taught by Morris, Jr. et al., in the apparatus of Wagner et al., to provide a desired interference effect for optimal light transmission and propagation.

Regarding Claim 5, Wagner et al. teach the sensing element configured to provide (using 104, 105) a response to a control circuit, external to the integrated optical apparatus (see Col. 12, lines 22-23 and Col. 16, lines 25-26 and Col. 19, lines 30-33, 57-60), for measuring the response of the sensing element to incident light and for controlling the light source (see Col. 19, lines 25-33).

Regarding Claim 6, Wagner et al. teach the light source as a laser (see Col. 10, lines 8-11).

Regarding Claim 10, Wagner et al. teach a first (104) and second (105) contact on the sensing element for measuring the resistance of the sensing element (through operation of the photodiode in reverse bias).

Regarding Claim 11, Wagner et al. teach the optically transmissive material including a semiconductor (see Col. 8, lines 30-35).

Regarding Claim 19, Wagner et al. teach the temperature of the sensing element as responsive to light (since all objects increase temperature to some degree when impacted by laser energy).

Regarding Claim 20, Wagner et al. teach at least two of the layers (101, 103) of optically transmissive material are sensing elements (see Col. 8, lines 35-36) having resistances responsive to incident light (through operation of the photodiode in reverse bias).

Regarding Claim 21, Wagner et al. teach at least two adjacent layers (101, 103) of optically transmissive material are sensing elements (see Col. 8, lines 35-36) having resistances responsive to incident light (through operation of the photodiode in reverse bias).

Regarding Claim 22, Wagner et al. teach at least two non-adjacent layers (101, 102) of optically transmissive material are sensing elements (see Col. 8, lines 35-36) having resistances responsive to incident light (through operation of the photodiode in reverse bias).

Regarding Claim 23, Wagner et al. teach all of the layers (101-103) of optically transmissive material are sensing elements (see Col. 8, lines 35-36) having resistances responsive to incident light (through operation of the photodiode in reverse bias).

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 2878

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 5, 6, 10, 11, and 19-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kiely et al. US 5,953,355, teach a similar device with an optical element having a sensing element for light transmitted from an external light source.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Yam whose telephone number is (571)272-2449. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Epps can be reached on (571)272-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SY

SY